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A B S T R A C T

Background: Acetaminophen (paracetamol) is one of the most common medications used for management of pain in the world. There is lack of consensus about the mechanism of action, and concern about the possibility of adverse effects on reproductive health.

Methods: We first established the metabolome profile that characterizes use of acetaminophen, and we subsequently trained and tested a model that identified metabolomic differences across samples from 455 individuals with and without acetaminophen use. We validated the findings in a European ancestry adult twin cohort of 1880 individuals (TwinsUK), and in a study of 1235 individuals of African American and Hispanic ancestry. We used genomics to elucidate the mechanisms targeted by acetaminophen.

Findings: We identified a distinctive pattern of depletion of sulfated sex hormones with use of acetaminophen across all populations. We used a Mendelian randomization approach to characterize the role of Sulfotransferase Family 2A Member 1 (SULT2A1) as the site of the interaction. Although CYP3A7-CYP3A51P variants also modulated levels of some sulfated sex hormones, only acetaminophen use phenocopied the effect of genetic variants of SULT2A1. Overall, acetaminophen use, age, gender and SULT2A1 and CYP3A7-CYP3A51P genetic variants are key determinants of variation in levels of sulfated sex hormones in blood. The effect of taking acetaminophen on sulfated sex hormones was roughly equivalent to the effect of 35 years of aging.

Interpretation: These findings raise concerns of the impact of acetaminophen use on hormonal homeostasis. In addition, it modifies views on the mechanism of action of acetaminophen in pain management as sulfated sex hormones can function as neurosteroids and modify nociceptive thresholds.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Acetaminophen, often referred to by its brand name Tylenol or the abbreviation ‘APAP’ or in Europe as Paracetamol, has been on the market for over 50 years and is one of the most well-known over-the-counter drugs. It is widely regarded as one of the safest analgesics in use, although its efficacy and safety profile have recently been questioned (Machado et al., 2015). According to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, there are over 200 approved drug products containing acetaminophen as an active ingredient (Blough and Wu, 2011). Recent work suggests that acetaminophen functions as a cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibitor in the central nervous system (CNS) and via interaction with endocannabinoid and vanilloid signaling pathways (Ghanem et al., 2016). This may explain some of the calming effects experienced by some individuals taking acetaminophen and its use as a mild sedative in children. The uncertainty and growing number of proposed mechanisms raise the possibility that there are further actions involving CNS receptors.

The lack of complete understanding of the mechanism of action of acetaminophen extends to recent concerns about endocrine and reproductive effects of this drug. There are epidemiological and experimental data that suggest a possible link between intrauterine exposure to acetaminophen, disruption of hormonal homeostasis, and male urogenital malformations at birth (reviewed in Kristensen et al., 2016). Prenatal exposure to acetaminophen alters the masculinization of male brain and behavior in mice (Hay-Schmidt et al., 2017), and reduces testosterone production by the human fetal testis in a xenograft model (van den Driesche et al., 2015). We employed untargeted metabolomics and genomic analyses to expose overlooked effects of acetaminophen on
human metabolism and to identify the genetic target that mediates effects on hormonal homeostasis.

1. Methods

1.1. Study Design

For the first phase of the study, we enrolled 455 unselected active adults more than 18 years old divided into an initial 208 participant set, for training machine learning models, and a subsequent 247 participant test set, for testing and validating the accuracy of models. For the independent validation set, we included 1880 European ancestry twins enrolled in the TwinsUK registry (Hay-Schmidt et al., 2017) and 1235 African American and Hispanics enrolled in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS) (Wagenknecht et al., 2003). Details of the population and ethics consents are provided in Supplementary Methods.

1.2. Metabolite Profiling

The non-targeted metabolomics analysis of over 700 metabolites was performed at Metabolon, Inc. (Durham, North Carolina, USA) on a platform consisting of four independent ultra high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) methods. Detailed descriptions of the platform can be found in the supplementary notes and in published work (Dehaven et al., 2010; Evans et al., 2009). Values from multiple experimental batches were normalized into Z-scores based on a reference cohort of 42 self-reported healthy individuals ran with each batch. Any metabolites with more than 5% missingness in the original train set of 208 were excluded, bringing the final number of metabolites to 436. Measurements included acetaminophen and seven acetaminophen metabolites (Fig. 1A). We first used the identification of the parent drug 4-acetamidophenol and at least 3 metabolites (four if the parent compound was not detected) as the cutoff to call an active use of acetaminophen. We found that the precise number of metabolites used for the cutoff did not greatly vary the outcome. For example, using 3 metabolites instead of 4 only changed the classification for two people in the initial set of 455 participants. For the IRAS cohort, 3 metabolites was tested as the cutoff.

1.3. Genome Sequencing and Analysis

DNA samples were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeqX sequencer utilizing a 150 base paired-end single index read format. Reads were mapped to the human reference sequence build HG38. Variants were called using ISIS Analysis Software (v. 2.5.26.13; Illumina). The full set of metabolome data and genome sequence were available for 1,820 participants. A linear mixed model was applied to account for family structure in the cohort while testing for associations between genetic variants and metabolite levels (Lippert et al., 2011). Specific details

Fig. 1. Changes in the metabolome in individuals receiving acetaminophen. Panel A. Acetaminophen metabolism map. Solid pathway arrows are supported by literature reports (Moayyeri et al., 2013; Gamage et al., 2006; Evans and Davey Smith, 2015; Wang et al., 2014; Reddy, 2010). Dashed pathway arrows are proposed pathways. Panel B. Each vertical line corresponds to a participant (Training set, n = 208). Horizontal lines correspond to acetaminophen or its known metabolites. Participant metabolite measurements are shown in z-score (Red = highest concentration, Green = lowest concentration, and Grey = not observed).
have been reported elsewhere (Telenti et al., 2016; Long et al., 2017), and are summarized in Supplementary notes.

1.4. Statistical Analysis

R was used for the analysis and data manipulation. Statistical tools are described in Supplementary methods. Participants who had more than 4 measurable acetaminophen metabolites above a Z-Score of 0.05 were considered to have sufficient evidence of acetaminophen use. Acetaminophen use was used as a classifier for sPLS-DA (sparse partial least-squares-discriminant analysis) machine learning. ANCOVA was used for analysis of the IRAS cohort. Linear regression comparing metabolites with age, sex, body mass index, acetaminophen status, the first genetic principal component, and genotypes were performed in R using the lm function.

2. Results

2.1. Identification of Participants Receiving Acetaminophen

The first step of the analysis was to determine which patients in a 208-person training set cohort were taking acetaminophen. Nineteen out of 208 participants were classified as highly likely to be taking acetaminophen on the basis of the identification of acetaminophen and its metabolites (Fig. 1B). The pathways of metabolism of the metabolites measured are mapped in Fig. 1A. Use of acetaminophen stratified the patients into two groups with clear support (Fig. 1B).

2.2. Effects of Acetaminophen Use on the Metabolome

Out of the 796 metabolites tracked in this experiment, the 436 with less than 5% missingness in the training set were used as variables in sPLS-DA to identify metabolites that could distinguish those who were and were not taking acetaminophen (Fig. 2A). The top loadings of the sPLS-DA were plotted as a heatmap for the training set (Suppl. Fig. S1). The pathways of sPLS-DA to identify metabolites that could distinguish those who were and were not taking acetaminophen were used to identify metabolites that could distinguish those who were and were not taking acetaminophen. The top loadings of the sPLS-DA were plotted as a heatmap for the training set (Suppl. Fig. S1).

2.3. Independent Validation in Multi-Ethnic Cohorts and in Twins

We first validated the contribution of acetaminophen use to the measurement of sulfated sex hormones through longitudinal visits in the European ancestry cohort (TwinsUK (Moayyeri et al., 2013)). This analysis of 1880 individuals confirmed the association of age with the decrease in the levels of sulfated hormones, and the effect of acetaminophen use at all times (Fig. 3). At any visit, a paired t test showed that the twin receiving acetaminophen had values of sulfated hormones statistically lower than those of their co-twin that was not receiving the drug (e.g., p = 1.5 × 10^{-11} for pregnen-11-diol disulfate; Table S2). As the majority of participants in the study were of European ancestry, we aimed to validate the analysis on 1235 Hispanic or African-American subjects from IRAS (Wagenknecht et al., 2003) (Suppl. Table S3). We observed strong associations between multiple sulfated steroids and acetaminophen status (Fig. 3, Suppl. Table S4). Overall, our analyses of 3570 individuals (including training, test, Europeans,
Table 1
Spectrum of effect of acetaminophen use on steroid metabolism on training and testing set of 455 individuals. Sulfation of multiple androgenic and progestin steroids is affected by acetaminophen use. In contrast, cortisol, cortisone, corticosterone, pregnanediol-3-glucuronide, epandrosterone sulfate, and androsterone sulfate are not affected. Acetaminophen use does not impact the glucuronidation of steroids. Suffixes (e.g., (1)) indicate different sulfation locations on the molecular structure. Arrows indicate direction of effect for metabolites that show at least a nominal level of association (p ≤ 0.05 before Bonferroni correction).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Training and test sets combined (n = 455)</th>
<th>Direction change</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Dehydroisoandrosterone sulfate (DHEA-S)</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>16α-hydroxy DHEA 3-sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Epiandrosterone sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Androsterone sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Eriocholanolone glucuronide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>5α-androstane-3α,17α-diol monosulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Androstenediol (3β,17β) monosulfate (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Androstenediol (3β,17β) monosulfate (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Androstenediol (3β,17β) disulfate (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>3.74E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Androstenediol (3β,17β) disulfate (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>8.53E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Androstenediol (3α, 17α) monosulfate (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Androstenediol (3α, 17α) monosulfate (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol monosulfate (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol monosulfate (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol disulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol 17-glucuronide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>5α-androstane-3β,17β-diol monosulfate (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>5α-androstane-3β,17β-diol disulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>5α-androstane-3β,17α-diol disulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgenic steroids</td>
<td>Andro steroid monosulfate C19H28O6S (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>Corticosterone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>Cortisol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>Cortisone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnenolone steroids</td>
<td>Pregnenolone sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>1.50E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnenolone steroids</td>
<td>17α-hydroxypregnenolone 3-sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnenolone steroids</td>
<td>21-Hydroxypregnenolene disulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>2.77E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progestin steroids</td>
<td>Pregnanolone/allopregnanolone sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progestin steroids</td>
<td>Pregnen-diol disulfate C21H34O8S2</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>1.32E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progestin steroids</td>
<td>Pregnan steroid monosulfate C21H34O5S</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>5.46E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progestin steroids</td>
<td>5α-pregnan-3β,20β-diol monosulfate (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progestin steroids</td>
<td>5α-pregnan-3β,20α-diol monosulfate (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progestin steroids</td>
<td>5α-pregnan-3β,20α-diol disulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progestin steroids</td>
<td>5α-pregnan-3(α or β)-20β-diol disulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progestin steroids</td>
<td>Pregnanediol-3-glucuronide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Effect of acetaminophen use on independent study populations. Plots represent pregnen-diol disulfate concentration, expressed as z-scores. Effects of age and acetaminophen use on the European TwinsUK cohort (n = 1880), African Americans (n = 405) and Hispanics (n = 830). Based on the presence of acetaminophen and its metabolites. +APAP = very likely to be taking acetaminophen and -APAP = unlikely to be taking acetaminophen.
African Americans, and Hispanic participants) confirm the generalizable effect of acetaminophen use on sulfated sex hormone levels across human populations.

2.4. Analysis of Sulfation Pathways

Both acetaminophen and estrogens have been observed to be metabolized by SULT, a family of enzymes responsible for catalysis of sulfation of both exogenous and endogenous metabolites, leading to significant changes in biological activity, solubility, and renal clearance. Specifically, acetaminophen is a reported substrate of SULT1A1 (sulfotransferase 1A1) (Gamage et al., 2006). There is, however, some imprecision about the additional SULT enzymes that may be targeted by acetaminophen (Mazaleuskaya et al., 2015), and which SULT enzymes are primarily responsible for sulfation of the sex hormones (proposed to be SULT2A1 and SULT2B1 splice variants 1 and 2, reviewed in (Mueller et al., 2015)).

We first used knowledge from the literature to analyze acetaminophen’s interaction with various sulfotransferases. We compared participants from the TwinsUK cohort observed on the same visit in which one twin was likely to be taking acetaminophen and the other twin was not taking the drug (137 pairs of twins). By paired t-test, we determined the effect of acetaminophen use on 41 sulfated metabolites that expected to reflect the activity of SULT1A1, 1A3, 1E1, 2A1, and the two splice variants of SULT2B1 (Suppl. Fig. S3). These included a representation of steroid hormones, bile salts, catechol metabolites, and cresols and phenols. The analysis shows that the metabolites with the strongest associations with acetaminophen use have been previously reported in the literature to be substrates of SULT2A1 and 2B1. Importantly, there was no statistically significant effect of acetaminophen use on any of the metabolites that were previously reported to be SULT1A1, SULT1A3, or SULT1E3 substrates. Because of the lack of precision in the literature about the reported substrates of SULTs, we elected to use genomics to independently elucidate the relevant mechanisms that are targeted by acetaminophen.

2.5. Mendelian Randomization Genomic Analysis

Mendelian randomization is a useful tool for inferring causality with biomarkers (Evans and Davey Smith, 2015). If acetaminophen interacts with the SULT primarily implicated in sulfation of sex hormones, then genetic variants in that same locus should result in similar consequences (phenocopy) on the level of sulfation that is observed while receiving acetaminophen.

Fig. 4. Genetic mapping of the interaction. Panel A. Genome-wide association of sulfated steroids with common variants in SULT2A1 (first red box). Shown is the association peak for pregnen-diol disulfate as a representative metabolite. There is no significant association with SULT2B1 common variants (second red box). Panel B. The top variant, rs2547237, is a known eQTL for SULT2A1, where the minor allele (C) is associated with decreased SULT2A1 expression in the adrenal gland (p = 9.6 × 10^{-8}, available from GTEx portal). Panel C. Mean pregnen-diol disulfate level over 3 timepoints in 872 unrelated European ancestry participants. The reference allele, C, is the minor allele. Decreased expression of SULT2A1 is associated with the C genotype (p = 2.1 × 10^{-7}), which corresponds to less sulfation and thus lower levels of the sulfated sex hormone pregnen-diol disulfate.
We associated the levels of pregnen-diol disulfate (a representative metabolite with the best correlation with the use of acetaminophen) with genome-wide genotypes derived from whole-genome sequencing in 1,820 individuals. We identified the most significant association ($p = 2.2 \times 10^{-52}$) with rs2547237, an intronic variant in SULT2A1 (Fig. 4A). This particular variant is a known eQTL that associates with SULT2A1 expression levels. The C genotype corresponds to less sulfation and thus lower levels of the sulfated sex hormone pregnen-diol disulfate (Fig. 4B and 4C). Although SULT2A1 is thought to be responsible for the metabolism of glycolithocholate sulfate, tauroliothocholate 3-sulfate, taurocholate sulfate, glycocholate sulfate, and andro steroid monosulfate, these metabolites were not associated with SULT2A1 variation (Suppl. Table S5). We also performed a rare coding variant analysis of SULT genes using SKAT (sequence kernel association test) but did not identify any statistically significant associations with acetaminophen-associated metabolites. Although there was no clear signal of rare variants in these genes significantly impacting metabolite levels, we did observe lower than average sulfated sex hormone levels in carriers of the SULT2A1 variants rs11569679/Ala261Thr, chr19:47874713T/A/Asn230Ile, and chr19:47843430C/G/Arg33Thr.

In addition, a full genome-wide association analysis of common variants for all sulfated sex hormones identified multiple non-SULT associations with sulfated sex hormone levels, the most prominent of which was rs45446698 in CYP3A7-CYP3A51P (for example, the association with 5alpha-androstan-3beta,17beta-diol disulfate had $p = 2.9 \times 10^{-10}$) (Suppl. Table S5). In summary, common genetic variation in multiple genes, primarily SULT2A1, impact the levels of sulfated sex hormones.

### 2.6. Contribution of Acetaminophen Use, Sex, Age, SULT2A1 and CYP3A7-CYP3A51P to Levels of Sulfation

We used multivariate linear regression analysis to characterize the effects of various factors on the pregnen-diol disulfate and of 5alpha-androstan-3beta,17beta-diol disulfate levels in a subset of 872 unrelated individuals from the TwinsUK cohort. We found that decreased levels of pregnen-diol disulfate were significantly ($p < 0.01$) and independently associated with increased age, acetaminophen use, female sex, and the minor allele of SULT2A1 (rs2547237); 5alpha-androstan-3beta,17beta-diol disulfate levels also associated with CYP3A7-CYP3A51P (rs45446698) (Fig. 5A and 5B). Together, age, sex, genetic variants and acetaminophen use explained 16.5%, 17.1%, and 16.3% of the total variation in pregnen-diol disulfate metabolite levels at the three timepoints. Taken separately, rs2547237 genotype explained 9.4%, 10.3%, and 7.3% of the variation; age explained 3.1%, 2.7% and 0.7%; acetaminophen use explained 2.9%, 2.9%, and 7.2%; and sex explained 1.9%, 2.0%, and 1.6%. The effect size for acetaminophen represents a fairly low bound because at each of the three time points, only 4.9-8.9% of samples were found to be positive for acetaminophen use. In permutations where 50% of the samples used acetaminophen, the proportion of variance explained rose to 15.2%, 11.1%, and 17.5% respectively at longitudinal visits 1, 2 and 3. Taking acetaminophen (beta = $-0.750, -0.681$, and $-0.924$) decreased pregnen-diol disulfate levels in a manner that was roughly equivalent to 35 years of aging (beta for years of age = $-0.0217, -0.0177$, and $-0.0058$). The co-occurrence of multiple negative factors influencing sulfation resulted in very low levels

![Fig. 5. Contributors to levels of representative sulfated sex hormones. Multivariate linear regression model of (Panel A) pregnen-diol disulfate levels and (Panel B) 5alpha-androstan-3beta,17beta-diol disulfate levels. Shown are the beta values from an analysis of visit 1 metabolite levels versus covariates in 872 unrelated individuals of European ancestry. To make the scaling consistent, age, the first principal component of European-specific EIGENSTRAT axes (PC1), body mass index, and genotype have been transformed to a scale from 0 to 1. Decreased levels of these metabolites are found in those with increased age, those who are taking acetaminophen, females, and carriers of the (minor) C allele of SULT2A1 variant rs2547237. Decreased levels of 5alpha-androstan-3beta,17beta-diol disulfate are also found in carriers of the G (minor) allele of CYP3A7-CYP3A51P variant rs45446698, but this variant did not impact pregnen-diol disulfate levels. Here, all variables whose confidence intervals do not cross the dotted 0 line have $p < 0.0005$. Also shown are the levels (Panel C) of pregnen-diol disulfate and (Panel D) 5alpha-androstan-3beta,17beta-diol disulfate at visit 1, broken down by rs2547237 and rs45446698 genotype, sex, acetaminophen use, and age.](image-url)
of the various hormones, and interaction analysis showed these effects to be more additive than multiplicative [Fig. 4C and D]. In summary, independent contributions of age, sex, genotype, and acetaminophen use significantly impact the levels of sulfated sex hormones.

3. Discussion

This study represents a metabolomics-informed pharmacogenomics analysis (Neavin et al., 2016) of acetaminophen use. We identified a significant effect of acetaminophen use, age, sex, and SULT2A1 and CYP3A7-CYP3A51P genetic variants on multiple sulfated metabolites of androstenediol, pregnenolone, and DHEA (among others). Each of these factors made strong, independent contributions to the levels of these metabolites. For example, pregnen-diol disulfate levels decreased with age, and the effect of taking acetaminophen on this metabolite was roughly equivalent to the effect of 35 years of aging. These findings have important implications in the context of the current understanding of the mechanisms of action of acetaminophen, the recent literature about the role of sulfated hormones as neurosteroids, and safety concerns regarding adverse effects on androgen exposure during fetal life.

Human cytosolic sulfotransferases (SULTs) are extensively involved in regulating the activities of signaling small-molecules, drugs and xenobiotics (Wang et al., 2014) via transfer of the sulfuryl-moiety (-SO3) from PAPS (3'-'phospho-adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate) to the hydroxyls and primary amines of acceptors. The inhibition of SULT activity is caused by trapping PAP in a dead-end complex, which slows the release of nucleotides (Wang et al., 2014). Sulfation is critically involved in the metabolism of acetaminophen in vivo; a recent systematic analysis showed that three of the twelve human SULTs, SULT1A1, SULT1A3 and SULT1C4, displayed the strongest sulfating activity towards acetaminophen use. In addition, SULT1E1 and SULT2A1 have also shown activity at a high substrate concentration of acetaminophen (Adjei et al., 2008). On the other hand, five SULTs are associated with steroid sulfation: SULT1A1, SULT1E1, SULT2A1, as well as the two isoforms of the SULT2B1 gene, SULT2B1a and SULT2B1b (reviewed in (Mueller et al., 2015)). There is broad substrate specificity of the sulfotransferase enzymes that is thought to result from highly flexible loops flanking the catalytic binding site that can adapt to various ligands (Mueller et al., 2015). We used a Mendelian randomization approach to zero in on the most likely site of interaction of acetaminophen and the various sex hormones. SULT2A1 (as opposed to SULT1A1 or 2B1) emerged as carrying the most robust genetic evidence for the site of interaction. Importantly, only a precise set of metabolites, all sulfated sex hormones, shared the associations with acetaminophen use and SULT2A1 variants. In contrast, variants in CYP3A7-CYP3A51P, which cause the persistence of enzymatic activity of CYP3A7 during adult life (Smit et al., 2005), resulted in lower circulating levels of a more restricted subset of sulfated sex hormones. However, acetaminophen use does not phenocopy the effect of genetic variation in CYP3A7-CYP3A51P – meaning that the set of affected sulfated hormones is different than the acetaminophen use pattern.

The unexpected effect of acetaminophen use on steroid sulfation invites an assessment of existing knowledge on the role of sulfated sex hormones as neurosteroids - endogenous regulators of neuronal excitability (Reddy, 2010). Recent work by Kwon and colleagues (Kwon et al., 2016) has shown that pregnenolone sulfate plays a role in the regulation of allodynia in rats. Pregnenolone sulfate inhibits the GABAA channel and potentiates or activates the NDMA, TRPM1 and TRPM4 receptors. Antagonism of pregnenolone sulfate at the sigma-1 receptor was observed to decrease alpha beta meATP-induced mechanical allodynia (Kwon et al., 2016). Yoon et al. (Yoon et al., 2009; Yoon et al., 2010) indicated that an increase in the spinal DHEAS facilitates nociception via the activation of sigma-1 receptors. Sigma-1 receptor antagonist BD-1047 dose-dependently suppressed DHEAS’s facilitatory effect on nociception (Yoon et al., 2009). As shown in the present study, individuals who took acetaminophen had very low levels of neurosteroids such as pregnenolone sulfate and DHEAS, a mechanism that could synergize with acetaminophen's known mode of action in the central nervous system that implicates the COX, vanilloid, and endocannabinoid systems.

Although over half of women take acetaminophen during pregnancy, there are epidemiological, in vitro, and animal data that raise questions about the effects of acetaminophen on hormonal homeostasis (Kristensen et al., 2016). Previous studies have discussed inhibition of prostaglandin and INSL3 signalling, and decrease in testosterone levels as possible mechanisms leading to disrupted genital tract formation. The current work identifies the depletion of sulfated sex hormones as a potential mechanism. Specifically, it has been shown that DHEAS is an important carrier for steroids into the placenta (Geyer et al., 2017). In addition to possible risks to the development of the genital tract, there are reports of the potential effects on neurocognitive and behavioral development that could be linked to the role of sulfated hormones as neurosteroids (Liew et al., 2014).

In conclusion, the current work showcases the use of pharmacometabolomics to identify unexpected effects of a commonly used drug, acetaminophen, on hormone metabolism, and the mapping of the site of interaction to SULT2A1 by using genomics and Mendelian randomization. Closer scrutiny of this commonly used medication is warranted.
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